Pages 1-91 Exhibits - None

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT HAMPSHIRE, SS. SUPERIOR COURT NO. 1780CV00105

TIMOTHY FONDAKOWSKI, Plaintiff,

 ∇ .

PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF WESTHAMPTON THROUGH ITS MEMBERS MARK SCHWALLIE, THOMAS HATHAWAY, ROBERT TURNER, ROBERT DRAGON, JR., COTTON TREE SERVICE, INC., DODGE MAPLE GROVE FARM, LLC, AND HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR COURT, Defendants.

> DEPOSITION OF MARK SCHWALLIE TAKEN MAY 21, 2018 AT THE LAW OFFICES OF KOTFILA & JORDAN ONE MONARCH PLACE, SUITE 1340 SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

Reporter: Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr.

2

(Pages 2 to 5)

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: LAW OFFICES OF KOTFILA & JORDAN One Monarch Place, Suite 1340 Springfield, Massachusetts 01144 BY: RICHARD T. JORDAN, ESQ. 413-736-0077/413-781-5399 attorneyjordan@gmail.com

For the Defendant Town of Westhampton: KP LAW, P.C. 101 Arch Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110 BY: JACKIE COWIN, ESQ. 617-556-0007 jcowin@k-plaw.com

In Attendance: Timothy Fondakowski David Cotton

STIPULATIONS

It is agreed by and between the parties that all objections, except objections as to the form of the questions, are reserved and may be raised at the time of trial for the first time.

It is further agreed by and between the parties that all motions to strike unresponsive answers are reserved and may be raised at the time of trial for the first time.

It is further agreed by and between the parties that the sealing of the original deposition transcript is hereby waived.

It is further agreed by and between the parties that the notification to all parties of the receipt of the original deposition transcript is hereby waived.

INDEX:

WITNESS: MARK SCHWALLIE

Examination by Mr. Jordan 6

EXHIBITS: (None marked) 1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

3

PAGE

MARK SCHWALLIE, Deponent, having produced satisfactory identification by means of

Massachusetts Driver's License, was duly sworn,

deposes and states as follows:

MR. JORDAN: Same

stipulations?

MS. COWIN: Sure. Before you start, I would like to put a brief

10 statement on the record, if I may. 11

informs me that he has been receiving some

sometimes affects his memory. He thinks

events underlying this matter but wanted

21 probably going to ask him a few questions 22 about that then.

MS. COWIN: Sure.

5

MR. JORDAN: Sure.

MS. COWIN: The witness

therapy, some treatment, and his therapist tells him he has a condition that

that he is fine to testify today to the

you -- wanted that to be on the record.

MR. JORDAN: Okay. I'm

(Pages 6 to 9)

8 6 1 1 EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDAN: I did some business advice with a 2 2 Would you state your name, please? company that was from Westfield that -- right 3 3 after my divorce a friend of mine asked me to do Α. Mark Schwallie. 4 Mr. Schwallie, where do you live? 4 some help for his company with some legal advice Q. 5 5 on occasion, but what I was there for was for 116 Chesterfield Road, Westhampton. A. 6 6 Sir, what is your occupation? accounting to make sure the numbers got in Q. 7 7 correctly to the computer. And I think I did A. I'm an attorney. 8 8 How long have you been an attorney? some tax work for him, sales tax, that type of O. 9 9 thing. Mid '80s. A. 10 10 Q. What area of practice? So has your primary income since 11 11 I do collections. the '80s been derived from legal work? 12 12 A. I guess for the most part, yes. MR. JORDAN: Off the record. 13 13 (Off-record conference) Some of it was, as I said, doing accounting 14 MR. JORDAN: Back on the 14 work. 15 15 record. Now, you're on the Planning Board 16 16 for the Town of Westhampton; is that right? (By Mr. Jordan) So you stated your O. 17 17 practice is collections? Α. Yes. 18 18 A. Yes. Q. How long have you been a member of Any other areas? 19 19 Q. that Planning Board? 20 20 A long time. Maybe '90s or -- in A. Occasionally refinances for Quicken 21 21 the '90s sometime. I don't know. Late '90s, Loans. 22 22 maybe. Q. Okay. Sir, is that your current 23 23 practice? Q. Late '90s, you think? 24 24 I don't know, too long. Let me explain something. You're A. Α. 1 saying practice. I cover cases, generally small 1 And you're currently chairman of O. 2 2 the Planning Board? claims cases, for law firms from the eastern 3 3 part of the state that don't want to come out A. Yes. 4 4 And how long have you been here. Q. 5 5 chairman? Q. Okay. 6 6 I no longer do my own cases. I don't know. A long time. Like A. A. 7 7 how long I have been on the board, it's been a Q. Okay. 8 8 So I just file substitute counsel long time. I don't know, could be -- I know 9 9 when I got on the board I was not the chairman. appearances, might argue a civil motion, but 10 10 I think Pat Coffey was. At some point it was most of it is small claims but here for the 11 11 decided for me to become chair, I think, small claims session. 12 12 basically because nobody else really wanted to O. Like I said, that is what you 13 13 do it. currently do? 14 14 Q. That is what I currently do. Okay. 15 15 In the past what other areas of the This is where my memory is not very 16 law did you practice in? 16 good. Whether it was mid '90s, late '90s, I 17 17 A. I did some real estate don't know. 18 18 Okay. So with regard to your transactions, collections, maybe some wills. O. 19 19 And sir, this morning I just memory, how long have you had issues with your 20 20 quickly looked up your name because I was memory? 21 21 unfamiliar with your practice and it stated you Probably since -- I don't know. I A. 22 22 was going to say since my divorce. That was did primarily real estate, business, corporate.

probably around 2002, but it probably even goes

do?

Is that a fair assessment of what you used to

23

24

23

24

back further.

(Pages 10 to 13)

12

10 1 Q. If you know, how has your memory 2 2 issues affected your ability to fulfill your 3 3 capacities as a Planning Board member? 4 4 A. I think I have done fine. I mean, 5 5 it's for the -- with the type of work that I do, 6 6 it's -- I live in the moment. I guess that is 7 7 the only way I can say it. I don't think it's 8 8 adversely affected me. O. 9 9 Q. Now, are you familiar with Chapter 10 10 40A of the Mass General laws? 11 11 A. I have not read it recently. 12 Do you know what it is? 12 Q. Q. 13 13 A. I think it's the zoning bylaw for ten years? 14 14 Massachusetts. A. Oh, yes. 15 15 Q. Now, you said you think. Have you 16 16 ever read the zoning bylaws of Massachusetts? 17 17 Off and on throughout the years. 18 Anybody would, you know, when something comes up 18 19 19 you look at it. 20 20 Q. All right. Now, were you a part of 21 21 a meeting where you talked about the possibility 22 22

of entering into a settlement with, I believe, Mr. Cotton's companies -- the name escapes me. Were you a part of the discussion which resulted answer his questions.

THE WITNESS: I didn't know.

- I don't think Pat Coffey was there. He was never part of this whole thing.
- Q. And that was due to the fact that he had recused himself from it; is that right?
 - Could be. I can't remember.
- So how long have you been the chairman of the Planning Board for Westhampton?
- A. I think you asked that. I couldn't remember the exact time or date.
- Okay. Have you been for the past
- So as of today, you have no knowledge or no memory of why Mr. Coffey recused himself from acting on the special permit for the property on Northwest Road?
 - A. The specific reason, I can't remember. I don't know if he didn't show up for the first meeting or he recused himself, what went on. I just know that he was not part of the whole process.
 - Q. Well, it was stated by one of the

11

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in an Agreement for Judgment that was entered into against Mr. Cotton and his company?

- Are you talking about an executive session meeting?
- I'm talking about were you -- what I'm asking you is -- let me pull back.

Do you know there was an Agreement for Judgment that was entered into by the Town of Westhampton and Mr. Cotton?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What part did you play in that?
- I attended an executive session A. meeting. There were two of them.
 - What date was that, the first one? O.
- A. March. I don't know what the year was, '16, '17.
 - O. All right.
 - I don't know off the top of my A.
- 19 head. 20

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

Were all the members of the Planning Board present at that session you had?

THE WITNESS: Am I allowed to talk about the executive session?

MS, COWIN: Yes, You can

town people. I guess that was at the May 23, 2017 remand hearing. Did you hear that statement about Mr. Coffey, whether he had recused himself?

- Α. I don't have a recollection of that: I don't.
- Q. Were you at the May 23, 2017 remand hearing?
- I was at the remand hearing. If that is the date, I was there.
- And what was the purpose of that Q. meeting?
- The purpose of the meeting was to let the public know what had been decided and let them know about the agreement for judgment.
- Okay. So getting back to the Agreement for Judgment, what was your participation in coming to that agreement?
- I attended the meeting with the other board members, except for Pat Coffey. Phil Dowling was there, selectman. And I believe town attorney was there via conference call or whatever.
 - All right. And again, what was

(Pages 14 to 17)

your participation in that meeting?

MS. COWIN: Objection to the form. Do you understand what he is asking?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

- Q. (By Mr. Jordan) Did you review some kind of draft of the proposed Agreement for Judgment?
- A. I don't know. I can't remember if we did or not. I know we looked at conditions and talked about conditions.
- Q. You said the meeting was in March. When you attended the meeting in March, had the Agreement for Judgment already been written up, if you know?
 - A. I don't remember if it was or not.
- Q. Did you ever see the Agreement for Judgment before it was filed with the Hampshire Superior Court?
 - A. I honestly don't remember.
- Q. Let's step back. What can you tell me today about what you remember with regard to your participation or involvement with entering into this Agreement for Judgment?

would like to have a meeting with the Planning Board on the Cotton litigation, would I be open to that. I said yes, but I voted for the allowance of the whole thing. He would have to call the other Planning Board members and see if they wanted to do so, then we had the meeting.

- Q. Okay. How were you notified of that meeting?
- A. Probably with the same telephone call. I don't know. I think, you know --
- Q. Well, what was your expectation that would occur at this meeting? And when you talk about a meeting, you're talking about the meeting you had in March?
- A. Yes. I think there were a couple of meetings in March.
 - Q. About the same subject?
- A. Yes
- Q. Were they separated by weeks, days, what?
 - A. I don't know. I don't have the specific dates.
 - Q. Okay. So today can you -- with your memory, can you distinguish what was done

- A. As I said, we discussed with town counsel various conditions that would be part of the Agreement for Judgment that -- what I do remember is town counsel saying that there was not a likelihood that the town would be successful with the case with Mr. Cotton, that -- whether or not we were open to doing agreement and, if we did agreement, what would be the conditions.
- Q. And who was at that meeting besides the Planning Board members?
- A. I think Phil Dowling was there, the selectperson, and then I think the assistant town clerk.
- Q. So your memory is there was one Select Board member and the assistant town clerk?
 - A. I think so, yes.
- Q. Did Mr. Dowling ever direct the Planning Board to enter into an agreement with Cotton Tree Service and Dodge Maple Farms, LLC?
- A. I don't know what you mean by direct. I got a call from one of the selectmen, either Mr. Dowling or Mr. Prichette, saying they

at the first meeting and what was done at the second meeting in March?

- A. I think basically we were briefed by town counsel at the first meeting of doing an agreement; and that the Town's position was not real strong; and that if Cotton was successful, then there was the possibility that it could be allowed with no conditions. And then I think we talked about some possible conditions, and then I think the second meeting gave time for town counsel and Attorney Melnik to go back and forth on some of the conditions.
- Q. So you were present at a meeting between Attorney Melnick?
 - A. No.
- Q. So the second meeting, were you at the second meeting?
- A. Yes, I believe the second executive session meeting was with the same people there.
 - Q. And which were the Planning Board?
- A. Planning Board. I think it was Phil Dowling again, Cheryl Provost. And I think town counsel came in via conference call or whatever.

(Pages 18 to 21)

18 20 1 1 O. Do you know why Mr. Dowling was A. Yes. 2 2 there? O. And then at some point the Select . 3 3 Board votes on that application, correct? Α. I think because the Select Board is 4 4 the executive of the town, like a mayor. You mean the Planning Board? 5 5 That's why he was there? What did I say? Q. Q. 6 6 I assume so. Select Board, I think. Α. A. 7 7 The Planning Board? Q. Was this meeting not for -- not a Q. 8 8 meeting with the Planning Board? Right. After the public hearings, A. 9 I think it was both. 9 they do a vote. 10 Q. Was it your understanding then that 10 Q. Okay. 11 the Select Board had input into the decision 11 I'm sorry. A. 12 that would be made with regard to the Agreement 12 No. I used the wrong board. 13 for Judgment? 13 Yes. And I voted to allow it. And A. 14 A. Yes. I would say it would, yes. 14 there were four people voting. I voted for it. 15 Q. Okay. You stated earlier that you 15 Tom Hathaway voted for it and Bob Dragon and Bob 16 had voted for Mr. Cotton's company to be able to 16 Turner voted against it. 17 have the saw mill; is that right? 17 And under the bylaws of the Town of 18 Going back to the original --18 Westhampton, that's a denial of this special 19 nothing do with these two meetings. 19 permit, right? 20 Right. 20 Q. Right. A. 21 I'm going back to the original 21 A. And do you remember what happened 22 event. 22 after that? What happened after there was a 23 O. In 2015, when the application was 23 denial of the special permit by the Planning 24 voted on? 24 Board? 19 21 1 I can't remember the date. But 1 I think Mr. Cotton appealed it. Α. 2 2 yes, for the original hearing I would have voted And then there was a remand Q. 3 3 for -- to allow the use here, the saw mill use. hearing, is that right, the next meeting to vote 4 4 And why did you vote in that on the allowance of the special permit? Q. 5 5 Yes. I think it went through manner? 6 6 Because Westhampton is a county whatever the appeal process was and it was A. 7 town. I believe that individual land rights, 7 followed by the remand hearing. 8 8 people being able to do what they want with Again, I want to get back just a 9 9 their property, basically, subject to rules and little bit more and talk about the Agreement for 10 conditions, but, you know, I guess that is my 10 Judgment. 11 11 basic thought process. A. Okay. 12 12 When did you first see a copy of Okay. How many votes, if you know, O. 13 13 were made on the application for the saw mill on the Agreement for Judgment? 14 14 Northwest Road in Westhampton? I don't recall. I don't remember. 15 15 A. On the application? Q. Was it prior to the May 23, 2017 16 16 Q. remand hearing? 17 17 A. I would assume so, yes. A. I don't think an application has 18 18 Why would you assume that? votes. 19 19 MS. COWIN: Objection to the Because I think we had copies of it 20 20 form. I know what you are getting at, but that night. That night they were also passed 21 21 the question is confusing. out to the general public. 22 (By Mr. Jordan) The process is you 22 Well, assuming that on May 23 was 23 23 need to apply for a special permit with the Town the filing of this Agreement for Judgment -- and 24 24 of Westhampton. Is that generally how it works? I have a copy here. Would that help you if you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Pages 22 to 25)

24

22

looked at the Agreement for Judgment document?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So you can see that is a stamp of Q. the Hampshire Superior Court?

Mm-hmm.

Prior to April 3, 2017, had you Q. seen that document, sir?

I think so. I just don't know. I don't have a specific memory of it and this date.

How about with regard -- do you have a memory today of what is contained in the Agreement for Judgment?

I think there are conditions in the Agreement for Judgment. That's the biggest thing, the conditions in there, that the project would be allowed.

Between the dates in March, which you cannot remember that you had discussions about talking about conditions and April 3, 2017, are you aware of any notice that was given to interested parties in the Town that the Planning Board was entering into Agreement for Judgment with Mr. Cotton's company?

I don't know if it was '17 or '16. Α.

All right. Q.

It was within the last year or two. A.

So it's your understanding that there was a year going between the date of the -- possibly a year between the date for the Agreement for Judgment and the May 23, 2017 remand date?

The executive session hearing, looking at this date here, was probably March of 2017. That's the likelihood of it.

Q. For purposes of this deposition, could we agree, with regard to your memory, the dates we're talking about when you discussed the conditions and the filing were March of 2017?

I don't have the executive session minutes with me. I would assume it was March of 2017.

Okay. So making that assumption, sir, are you aware of any notice that was given to interested parties such as abutters, other people on Northwest Road, or anyone else that would require notice under the open meeting law?

MS. COWIN: Objection to the

23

Α. Notices to abutters or property owners?

Q.

A. I think that was done by the town clerk.

Do you know when that was done, and specifically with regard to --

A. No.

Q. -- dates?

No, I don't. A.

So you have no memory of whether there was any notice given between March -- the two dates in March, that you stated you discussed the conditions for the agreement and judgment, and the April 3, 2017 date where that was filed with the Hampshire Superior Court?

Say that again. A.

You have no memory at this point of whether between March, where you had the two meetings --

Yes. A.

And if I suggested to you those meetings were in March of 2017, would that help refresh your memory, sir?

form. Could you say the question again?

Q. (By Mr. Jordan) All right. Are you aware of any notice given to any interested parties between March of 2017 and April 3, 2017 when the Agreement for Judgment was filed with Hampshire Superior Court?

 A. I believe the notices to -- I believe any notices that were sent out for the remand hearing, if that's what you're talking about, was done by the town clerk.

Q. Okay. Now, my question though is this, sir. I'm talking about two specific dates. March, the two meetings you had in March of 2017 --

Right.

-- and the end date for this particular question is April 3, 2017 when this Agreement for Judgment was filed with the Hampshire Superior Court. Those are the two dates. Are you aware of any notice that was given with respect to either your March 3 meetings --

A. The March meetings.

Q. -- in 2017 and the April 3, 2017,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in that time period?

- Between the two meetings. A.
- Q.
- A. I'm not aware of that. I can't recollect that.
- And in fact, you were present at Q. the May 23, 2017 remand hearing?
 - A. Yes.
- And you heard a lot of town folks speaking out about this Agreement for Judgment, the copies that had been handed out?
 - A. Yes, I was there.
- And you already testified that the Q. purpose of the meeting was to inform the town folks about the Agreement for Judgment that the town had entered into, correct?
- I believe that is what -- whatever I said before. You have that.
- As chairman of the Planning Board, did you have any concerns at all about the procedure by which this special permit was granted?
- No, I don't think so. We followed A. what town counsel was saying, that we had to do

member. Now I'm asking you as a member of the bar. Did you have any concerns from a legal standpoint about the procedure by which this Agreement for Judgment was entered into?

- A. I was there as a Planning Board member, not as an attorney.
- So can I take it from that answer, sir, that you make a division between when you're acting as a Planning Board member you don't use your legal skills and your legal hat when you're making determinations about whether special permits or other things that you vote on should be allowed or not?

MS. COWIN: Objection. He is here as a Planning Board chair, so I'm objecting to the tone of the questioning and the line of questioning. But to the extent you understand the question, you should answer it.

What was the question again? A. MR. JORDAN: I'm not sure what you mean by the tone. I think my tone is appropriate.

MS. COWIN: I think the

a remand hearing, that this is what was done in this situation.

So you had no concerns about the Q. procedure by which it was granted?

I was not thinking about the procedure. I assumed this was all what you do.

- All right. I asked you about as a Planning Board member. How about as an attorney? Would you have any concerns about procedure by which this special permit was granted?
 - What do you mean by that? Α.
- What I mean is, you're a legally Q. trained member of the bar, right?
- And what do you mean by that? Let me ask you a question.

MS. COWIN: You're not allowed to ask him questions, unless you don't understand.

- (By Mr. Jordan) Do you want to Q. take a break?
- Α. No.
- So my question to you again is, Q. sir: I asked you before as a Planning Board

question is inappropriate and the tone is inappropriate.

MR. JORDAN: If you could describe my tone, which is upsetting.

MS. COWIN: Your tone is patronizing, somewhat sarcastic. The substance of the question goes beyond what this case is about.

MR. JORDAN: That's your objection?

MS. COWIN: Most of it, yes.

- (By Mr. Jordan) You can answer, Q. now, sir.
 - Α. I'm not town counsel.
 - That was not my question. Q.
- A. You asked me if I was there as a lawver. I'm not town counsel.
- I did not ask you that, sir. What I asked you was from your previous answer. I asked you, so it would be a fair assumption -when you're acting as a Planning Board member, you make a distinction between whether you're acting as a lawyer or as a Planning Board member. That's the first part of the question.

(Pages 30 to 33)

MS. COWIN: Objection. Asked and answered. You may answer again.

A. I was there as a Planning Board member.

Q. And from your previous answer, it would be a fair assumption that you don't use your training as an attorney, your knowledge as an attorney, when you're making decisions concerning Planning Board matters?

MS. COWIN: Objection. Asked and answered. Go ahead and answer.

- A. I was there as a Planning Board member.
- Q. That's not answering my question, sir, but we'll move on. We'll deal with that later, I guess.

On May 23, 2017, which was the remand hearing, the special permit was again denied by the Planning Board; is that correct?

- A. It was a split decision.
- Q. Well, again, my question to you is: As a result of the vote at the May 23, 2017 meeting, remand hearing, there was a public vote. Do you remember that?

a denial or not of the special permit for a saw mill?

- A. I know it resulted in a denial for the original application and public hearings. The legal result of it at a remand hearing, I don't know what it is. If there's something in the Chapter 40, whatever, there, maybe there is. I have not read it recently.
- Q. Okay. So after the May 23, 2017 remand hearing, what was your understanding of how the Planning Board had voted? Was it allowed? Was the special permit allowed or was it denied?
- A. I believe, based upon the Agreement for Judgment, it was going to be allowed.
- Q. So you are making a distinction between a remand hearing and a regular hearing of the Planning Board; is that correct?
- A. I think they are two different things. I don't know for sure.
- Q. Let's talk about the first hearing then. That too was a split decision, correct?
 - A. The first one?
 - Q. Yes.

- A. I do remember the vote.
- Q. And as you stated, it was a split decision. You and Mr. Hathaway voted for it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And Mr. Dragon and Mr. Turner voted against it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, do you know what the result of that is under the rules -- the bylaws of the Town of Westhampton and actually 40A of the Mass General laws?
- A. I don't know if that legally works as a denial with a remand hearing or not. All I can tell you is it is in my mind a split decision. This is somewhat related to the question that you were asking me before about being an attorney. I don't practice in this area.
- Q. Sir, there is no question before you.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. So as a Planning Board member, chairman of the Planning Board, today you're unaware of whether a split decision resulted in

- ¹ A. Yes.
 - Q. And did that result in an allowance or a denial of the special permit?
 - A. It was a denial.
 - Q. And that denial was by the Planning Board, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And who was the sole granting authority of special permits in the Town of Westhampton?
 - A. I believe it depends upon the use. It could be Planning Board or ZBA.
 - Q. You're correct.
 - A. I'm happy I got something right.
 - Q. It's not a test, sir.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Let me refine that. That was a poorly-worded question. Who is the sole authority for the issuance of special permits for saw mills in the Town of Westhampton?
 - A. I believe it's the Planning Board. I would have to look it up.
 - Q. Between the time when the special permit for the saw mill was initially denied,

Worcester 508.767.1157

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

34

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

which I believe was back in 2015 or so, and 2016, did you become aware of any factors or findings that would require a second vote on the special permit?

A. You're talking the original hearing?

Q. Yes.

A. I don't recall anything, no.

Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Dragon after the May 23, 2017 remand hearing concerning the special permit for the saw mill?

A. I don't believe so. I don't remember anything.

Q. How about Mr. Turner, same question?

A. I don't think I did either.

Q. And you were present for the vote on the May 23, 2017 hearing?

A. Yes.

Q. And you heard Mr. Turner and Mr. Dragon both state that they had not heard anything that would convince them to change their mind with respect to the allowance of the saw mill?

remand vote on the special permit, were you aware of any material or favorable changes in conditions that would warrant the granting of the special permit?

MS. COWIN: I object because he voted for it the first time, so he did not change his vote.

I didn't change my vote. I was A. shocked that the other two voted that way at the remand hearing. And the other thing I would like to add, because I don't know if it relates to two questions back, is it was not until recently Bob Turner -- because he has had so many health problems. I think he's had a heart attack and a stroke. He said something to me when I was driving him home one night, that, you know, people -- you know, at the remand hearing he said something like he was sorry, you know, he changed things because of what went on that night for the remand hearing. But I have not had any discussions with these guys. I was shocked. I thought they were going to vote heads up for it. I know my condition during that hearing. I got to a point where I just

35

A. State that again.

Q. You were at the May 23, 2017 --

A. Right.

Q. -- where there was a vote taken?

A. Yes.

Q. And in fact, you opened it up for a vote after the public comment, right?

A. Correct.

Q. And during that vote Mr. Dragon and Mr. Turner both voted to not allow the saw mill, correct?

A. Right.

Q. And did you hear the statement made by both or one of them that they had not heard anything different that would convince them to change their vote?

A. I don't recall that comment. If it's recorded somewhere, I don't disagree with it.

Q. So you don't remember?

A. No, I don't. If you would like

me -- well, there's no question.

Q. Now, how about yourself? Between the first vote on the special permit and the

shut down. I was like a deer in the headlights. That's all I can say on the thing.

Q. Now, sir, are you -- I'm going to ask you to read -- this is Chapter 40A, Section 16. And if you could read that first paragraph, and you can read it to yourself. If you want to show it to your attorney --

A. Okay.

I guess I direct your attention to the following language in 16. It says, "No appeal application or petition which has been unfavorably and finally acted on by the special permit granting authority shall be acted favorably upon within two years after the date of final unfavorable action unless said sufficient permit granting authority or permit granting authority finds by unanimous vote of three members or a vote of four members of a board of five members or two-thirds members of a board of more than five members, specific and material changes in the conditions upon which the previous unfavorable action was based and describe such changes in the record of its proceedings."

37

Springfield 413.732.1157

5/21/2018 Mark Schwallie

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

23

24

(Pages 38 to 41)

40

38

Now, with respect to that language, sir, first of all, were you aware of Section 17 of Chapter 40A when you had --

This is 16, right? A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Chapter 40A, Section 16.
- Okay. At that moment in time, A. probably not.
- Was a vote ever conducted where as -- on the issue of specific and material changes in the conditions upon which the previous unfavorable action was based? Was a vote ever taken by the Planning Board with respect to that language?
 - I don't recall that. A.
- O. To follow up on that, were those specific and material changes described in the record of any of those proceedings that you had when you discussed whether there would be a further vote on the special permit?
- I don't remember any type of discussion about Section 16 of 40 or what's the verbiage that's contained in here. All I know is that town counsel was stating to us during the process of the litigation that she felt we

violations of conditions of special permits?

- 2 We have a zoning enforcement 3 officer and building inspector.
 - My question to you though is: As a Planning Board member, do you have any involvement in the enforcement of the conditions of the special permit?
 - Again, I don't think there is anything under the bylaws that says we do.
- 10 So you have never been involved in 11 any enforcement of any conditions of the special 12 permit? 13
 - A. I don't have any recollection of that.
 - Now, at the -- as a Planning Board member, did you, yourself, ever review any letters from town residents reporting violations by Mr. Cotton's companies of the allowed special permit for the saw mill?
 - You mean since the remand hearing? A.
 - Since the remand hearing. Q.
 - I don't believe I have seen any.
 - Would you normally see documents Q. like that?

39

did not have a strong case. So whether that was all incorporated in it, I don't know. But I don't remember any discussions on Section 16.

- Or a vote? Q.
- We took a vote at the executive A. session meeting to settle the case.
- Okay. You took a vote. And again, did you take a vote of whether there was specific and material changes made?
- I don't have a recollection of A. that.
- Okay. Are you aware of any records in the possession of the Town of Westhampton or you, yourself, or any board members of the Planning Board that describes such changes of that proceeding?
- A. I think this is like a legal analysis question. And what I'm saying to you is I don't know if what was discussed during executive session and what was being reported to us by town counsel fulfills this or not. I don't know.
- Okay. As a Planning Board member, do you have any input at all with respect to

No. I think they would be going to the building inspector or maybe the selectmen.

But you have never seen them, Q. correct?

- Never is an absolute. I don't have any recollection. I assumed there was not anything going on up there because everything was in like a holding pattern.
- What do you mean by a holding pattern?
- Α. Until all of this figured itself out.
 - Q.
- A. Your appeal or whatever.
- 15 You talking about Mr. Fondakowski's Q. 16 appeal? 17
 - Yes. A.
 - So as a holding pattern, do I take O. that to mean you don't believe anything is going on --
- 21 I have not been -- yes. Α.
- 22 Let me finish. Q.
 - A. Okav.
 - -- there is nothing going on, any Q.

41

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Pages 42 to 45)

44

42

activity at the location of the special permit on Northwest Road?

- A. I don't know if there has been or not. I have not been up there to look or anything like that.
- You said you assumed a holding Q. pattern. What did you mean by that?
- That until all of this litigation was done, because you appealed, there would not be anything going on up there.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Maybe I'm mistaken. I don't know.
- You mentioned quite a few times Q. that the reason that there was a remand hearing and conditions were set was on advice of town counsel, correct?
- A. I don't know what you're looking for in an answer. You premised it that I answered several times, so I will go with my previous answers.
- Okay. While the litigation was going on between the Town of Westhampton and Mr. Cotton's companies, are you aware of generally what had been done with respect to the

1 I can't remember whose motion it A. 2 was.

- Would it help refresh your memory if it was done by Attorney Melnick on behalf of Mr. Cotton's company?
- If you say it is. If that is the Α. situation it is, I don't know.
- But it does not refresh your memory?
 - A. No.
- Are you aware of any instance where O. the Town of Westhampton was unsuccessful in either prosecuting or defending any motions during the period of litigation between Westhampton and --
- I may have been. At the time town counsel may have let me know what the result was, but I don't have any firm recollection on
- Q. It was stated, during the May 23, 2017 remand hearing by yourself and actually Mr. Dowling, to the town residents that attended that, that due to the fact that town counsel had recommended it, because it looked like the Town

43

litigation that was -- that occurred between the town and Mr. Cotton's company? Were you following it?

- A. I think some discovery was being done, maybe some Interrogatories or something.
- Were you kept apprised, I guess, of the progression of the litigation between Mr. Cotton's companies and Westhampton?
- A. I think when town counsel needed something or asked about something, she would
- All right. Now, what about -- were Q. you aware of a summary judgment motion that had been made on behalf of Mr. Cotton's companies during that period of litigation with Westhampton?
- A. I think I remember that a summary judgment motion had been filed. I can't remember whether it was by town counsel for Westhampton or Mr. Cotton's motion. I think I vaguely remember something about a motion for summary judgment.
- Q. Do you remember how the Court ruled on that motion for summary judgment?

of Westhampton would likely lose the appeal, that was the reason for setting the conditions. Do you remember making statements to that effect, sir?

- I don't remember -- I think the gist of that is correct. I don't remember specifically "likely." I just have an impression that the Town did not have a strong case. In my mind "likely" is a little different.
- Q. And factually, do you know why the Town did not have a strong case?
 - I can't remember right now, no.
- Sir, what I have here is a O. transcript of the May 23, 2017 remand hearing. And I'm just referring to Page 5. This is Mr. Dowling speaking. Do you remember making this statement, "The Select Board voted unanimously to direct the Planning Board to come up with a set of conditions to attempt to settle this case"? Do you remember Mr. Dowling directing the Planning Board to do that, sir?
- A. I don't doubt what he said there. I don't have a firm recollection. That was a

(Pages 46 to 49)

very contentious meeting.

- Q. That's all right. You answered the question. And when you say contentious, why was it contentious?
- A. The place was packed. There were some people that were upset with the situation and they were very vocal about it. Some people said disparaging things. I think there may have even been a police officer there.
- Q. Do you know why people were upset at that meeting?
- A. I believe this whole situation has been very difficult for everybody in town. I think there has been a lot of people in town that feel Mr. Cotton should do what he is proposing out there. I think a lot of the neighbors that are close to it were upset with it. I think there's other people in Westhampton that don't want to see anything change. So it was a situation that a lot of people felt strongly on one way or the other.
 - Q. And they were very vocal about it?
- 23 A. Yes
 - Q. And that was the reason actually

Q. All right. And when you say remand hearing, you mean an open meeting for the Town?

- A. Well, I don't know if there is a difference between an open meeting and a remand hearing. It was called a remand hearing.
- Q. How did you come up with the restrictions on the Planning Board? How did the Planning Board come up with the restrictions that would be added to the special permit?
 - A. Are you talking conditions?
- Q. Yes.
- A. I think those came from town counsel, I think, in negotiations with Mr. Cotton, Dodge Maple Hill or whatever. And I think some of the conditions were talked about during the course of the public hearing process.
- Q. Did the Planning Board have any involvement in negotiating that?
- A. We were part of the executive session process and, as I said, we discussed the various conditions that were put into place there.
- Q. Were all the conditions that you discussed placed in the Agreement for Judgment?

- for having an open meeting on it, correct, was that comment on what the Town planned to do, correct?
- A. It was so the people could hear what was going on. And if people wanted to state something, they could.
- Q. And do you know why it was included in that Agreement for Judgment that there be an open meeting conducted, which happened on May 23, 2017?
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. Do you know why it was contained in the Agreement for Judgment that there be a requirement that there be an open meeting, which ended up occurring on May 23, 2017?
- A. Not really. I assume it's part of the procedure.
- Q. And when you say that, you mean the open meeting law procedure?
 - A. Whatever Chapter 40A requires.
 - O. Okav.
- A. All I remember is town counsel saying, you know, there needed to be a remand hearing.

- A. I think so. You know, you say "all." That's an absolute. I don't deal in worlds of absolute. I believe so.
- Q. Are records kept of your executive session?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would those records reflect all of the conditions that the Planning Board had discussed and would like as part of the Planning Board -- as part of the special permit?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you stated it was negotiated between essentially the attorneys in this case, right?
 - A. Yes. I think --
 - Q. This Agreement for Judgment?
- A. I think that is where the ball starts rolling with the attorneys, and then they have to go consult with clients.
- Q. So you were the chairman of the Planning Board, which is the sole granting authority, correct, for special permits for saw mills in the Town of Westhampton?
 - A. I think so, but I have not looked

Worcester 508.767.1157

Springfield 413.732.1157

(Pages 50 to 53)

it up.

Q. So you were the client?

A, Yes.

Q. So after it was negotiated, did you review this document?

A. I can't remember. All I remember are the conditions. Did I review Agreement for Judgment -- I remember reviewing conditions.

Q. But you don't remember reviewing this document?

A. I don't have a firm recollection of when and where.

Q. If you had reviewed it, would it have been done at a meeting by the Planning Board or would it have been done individually by separate Planning Board members?

A. I just don't remember the mechanics of it, I'm sorry.

Q. Now, do you remember, at the May 23, 2017 meeting, discussing previous violations that had occurred on the northwest property by Mr. Cotton's companies?

A. I don't have a recollection of that coming up at the May 23 meeting. I have no

Q. Well, you were aware there had been previous violations and tickets had been written, correct?

A. Yes. That's where I was going to finish up that last question where you told me I had answered. I was going to say yes. Through the public hearing process, yes, we did hear that there were zoning enforcement issues that the neighbors were upset by certain things Mr. Cotton was doing or not doing. I can't remember.

Q. Well, tickets were issued. We're talking about several things, not just violations. We're talking about the --

A. Then I misspoke. They are violations, tickets. To me they are the same.

Q. And as a result of violations, fines were assessed. Do you recall that?

A. I think I heard that along the way.

Q. Was the first time you heard it at the May 23, 2017 meeting or were you aware of that when you voted back in March of 2017?

A. I think -- I'm not positive, but I think I heard that during the public hearing

reason to doubt that it didn't, if you have it there. I do remember --

O. You answered.

A. Okay.

Q. So I'm reading from -- again, this is a transcript and this is a statement attributed to you. Do you remember making this statement about -- when you were talking about the conditions having more strength because they would become an order of the Court and you would be able to enforce it with the Town. Do you remember making that statement or words to that effect?

A. I don't specifically remember saying that, but I could see myself saying that.

Q. Do you remember making the statement along these lines? I believe that has more weight, talking about the contempt action, than we were in the previous situation where the building inspector was trying to write out tickets and nothing was really happening. Do you remember making that statement?

A. I don't have any reason to doubt it if it's there.

process.

Q. That was the first time you had heard there were previous violations?

A. Not at the May hearing. I'm talking about through the public hearing process. I think people brought that up. I cannot say for certain, but — all I remember is that people were saying the zoning enforcement officer, the building inspector, had gone up and spoken to Mr. Cotton a few times, that they were not happy with what was going on up there. I think I may have heard something about fines.

Q. So today you're unaware at least that there was potentially a large amount of fines for continuing violations?

A. I have no idea what the amounts were.

Q. As of today, you're unaware that there were continuing violations where tickets for violations had been assessed, added up to a fairly large sum of money against Mr. Cotton's company?

A. I have no recollection of amounts or -- I think I may have heard something about

Worcester 508.767.1157

tickets. I can't say for certain.

- Q. Do you know Ms. Melanovich?
- A. Maybe by sight. I don't know.
- Q. Do you remember having a discussion at the May 23 meeting where there were comments about the amounts that were paid in legal fees? Do you remember that part of a discussion?
- A. Not really. But as I said, if it's there, it's there.
- Q. Do you remember Ms. Melanovich questioning why the money owed in fines was not collected to offset those legal fees so the Town would not have to have that financial burden?
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. Do you remember Ms. Melanovich mentioning the legal fees and saying that -- asking why the fines were not collected against Mr. Cotton's company to alleviate the legal fees for the defense of the Planning Board?
- A. I think I have a vague recollection of that, somebody saying something about legal fees. But again, if it's in the transcript, it's in the transcript.
 - Q. Right. But I'm asking you, sir, if

conditions was so you could enforce some restrictions or limitations on the use of the property, right?

- A. Yes. I think that is -- yes.
- Q. And the result of violations -- or at least repeated violations would end up in the issuing of some enforcement action by the town enforcer?
 - A. I hope that would not happen.
- Q. But again, the purpose of having the conditions is the ability to enforce the conditions on the holder of the special permit, correct?
 - A. Yes. There are some rules.
- Q. So acknowledging that, would it be important in making decisions about whether conditions should be placed on the special permit, on how the applicant for the special permit had violated previous conditions that had been placed on the special permit?
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. In making the decision about putting conditions on the special permit, do you think it would be helpful to know what the

you remember that discussion.

- A. I remember somebody saying something about fees. The Planning Board does not see what somebody has paid in fines or fees.
- Q. What was the purpose of adding conditions to the special permit for the saw mill?
- A. To regulate hours of operation, exactly what was going to go on up there, that it was not a retail establishment.
- Q. And the purpose, as described by you and Mr. Dowling at different times, was that the conditions would give teeth to enforcement of violations up there. Do you remember making statement or words to that effect, sir?
- A. I think you read from the transcript before of what I said about this making it stronger with some type of contempt and being able to enforce things in court.
 - Q. So again --
- A. Do I have a recollection, a firm recollection, no. I have no reason to doubt what is in there is not what I said at the time.
 - Q. So the reason for having the

person -- what the entity you're giving the
special permit, whether they had complied with
earlier conditions on other special permits that
had been issued by the Town?

A Yes but this situation was very

- A. Yes, but this situation was very convoluted. During the public hearing process, I recall Mr. Cotton and the people that supported him saying no, none of those things happened, and then the neighbors who were immediately affected saying the contrary. So yes, but this was a very convoluted and murky situation and it was a difficult situation.
- Q. Okay. So again, that is -- you're talking about -- are you aware that there has been a continuing violation of at least 856 days where it has been disregarded that a cease-and-desist order had been issued by the Town and it had been disregarded for over 856 days on a previous special permit that had been granted to Mr. Cotton's --
- A. I have no recollection of that, honestly.
 - Q. So you didn't know that?
 - A. I don't want to say. I don't know.

Worcester 508.767.1157

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

58

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Does 865 days -- are there many continuing violations in the Town of Westhampton, that you're aware of, conditions placed on special permits or zoning violations?

 I don't keep track of zoning violations or what the building inspector is doing or not doing, so I don't know how to answer that question.

As the chairman of the Planning Board, was the person applying -- the entity that was applying for an application for a special permit, the saw mill, had been in violation for, it looks like, years of zoning violations which had been issued by the town enforcement officer?

 I don't know how to really answer the question. Of course a long violation would be of a concern. I don't know for sure one way or the other what was going on up there because both sides were saying this and the other side was saying that. And it seemed to be like the Hatfields and McCoys. I don't know how to say that.

We're not talking about he said, Q.

yes, it was hard to enforce or know what 2 actually was going on up there.

Well, actually, he used the word "impossible." Do you remember that?

I have no reason to doubt that. If it's written down in a transcript or whatever, I would not doubt it.

But you have no memory of that? Q.

Α. No.

10 Now, today, with regard to the O. 11 special permit, is there a site plan attached to 12 the special permit, the use of the property? Is 13 there a site plan involved?

> Not in this one. A.

Do you know what a forestry plan Q. is?

A. Like a forest-cutting plan?

18 Just a forestry plan. It was Q. 19 referenced in the application.

20 In the application or in this? A.

> I believe it was in the application. But generally, I'm asking you, do you know what a forestry plan is?

I have heard of forest-cutting

59

she said. We're talking about the town enforcement officer issuing violations. An actual violation was -- it states here 865 days. So that is my question.

I don't recall. I don't remember anything about a long ongoing violation that the building inspector wrote a ticket for or anything like that. I have no memory of that.

Do you have a memory of -- who is Chuck Miller?

I think he was the building A. inspector.

Q. And he was previous to Mr. Quinlan, is that correct, Tom Quinlan?

I think so, yes. Α.

Do you remember ever hearing from Mr. Miller or other people that Mr. Miller had stated that the enforcement of especially the amount of trucks going on the property where the saw mill was located would be impossible to enforce?

I believe he came to one of the public hearings, one of the original public hearings. And I have a vague recollection that plans. There is a certain amount of board feet. I don't know. Sometimes, I guess, people refer to forestry plans as a Chapter 61 or something for certain tax benefits.

Q. Do you know if a Chapter 61 forestry plan has been filed on this particular land that has the --

It may have been sent during one of the public hearings.

Q. My question is: Do you know today whether ---

No, I don't know. A.

-- a Chapter 61 forestry plan --Q.

A. No.

Again, at the May 23, 2017 remand hearing, you were asked by Mr. Silvernail -- on April 2 you basically decided to vote yes. And I'm reading from the transcript. Now I know you're going to say you didn't actually vote, but you did sign a paper that says no matter how you vote tonight that special permit will be issued, is that correct, Planning Board. Do you remember answering that "The agreement reads the way it reads." Do you remember that interchange

61

between you and Mr. Silvernail?

- A. Not specifically, but that would be something I would normally say. A document speaks for itself.
- Q. So at that point in time you were aware that it was irrelevant as to how the Planning Board voted at the May 23, 2017 meeting, that no matter what, based on the Agreement for Judgment, that the special permit would issue?
- A. I believe so because this was already filed with the Court.
- Q. So on that day you were aware of it?
- A. I think so. Again, I can't speak for certain, but I think so.
- Q. When asked -- do you remember, during that May 23, 2017 public comments piece, telling the people at the meeting that if they saw violations they could report it either to the Select Board or to the town enforcement officer?
- A. It sounds like something I would have said. If it's in the transcript, I have no

the attorneys? Do you remember making that statement?

- A. If it's in there, I don't have any reason to doubt it.
- Q. Do you remember a Ms. Anderson asking you what is the purpose of this public hearing if the case has already been settled? Do you remember stating to let everybody know what the agreement is and you can make public comments if you want? Do you remember making that statement?
- A. I don't have any definite recollections of this whole thing. I don't have any reason, if that is the transcript, to doubt what is in there.
- Q. So since the granting of the special permit by the Hampshire Superior Court, other than coming to the deposition today, have you been involved in any further discussions about this ongoing litigation other than with your attorney?
 - A. What was that again?
- Q. My question to you is: Since the granting of the permit, other than conversations

reason to disagree with it.

Q. Is it your understanding that the Select Board has managerial -- acts in a managerial capacity to the town enforcement officer for the Town of Westhampton?

A. I think they are the executive of the Town and they would be the one in charge of him. I have not looked at the town bylaw or whatever. And I think they hire him and can let him go, that type of thing, so I'm assuming so.

- Q. Do you remember some members of the Town asking, I guess, the Planning Board as a whole and, I guess, Mr. Dowling or whoever else was there, if the agreement has already been entered into, then why is there a open meeting so we can comment on it? Do you remember that exchange?
- A. I guess vaguely. If it's there, I don't have any reason to doubt it. May I add -- okay.
- Q. Do you remember making this statement that the condition -- again, at the May 23, 2017 remand hearing, that the conditions on the special permit were negotiated between

you have had with your attorney, have you had
 discussions about this ongoing litigation with
 Mr. Fondakowski versus the Town of Westhampton
 with any other persons?

- A. The only recollection I have at this moment is Bob Turner and his ill health and him not able to, you know, be part of the process. I have not been having discussions with individual Planning Board members or anything like that, if that's what you're asking me.
 - Q. So you have had discussions with Mr. Turner, you said?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What were those discussions?
 - A. It was about his health and not being able to perform. I would text him about Planning Board, him not being able to drive -- I think he has had a heart attack or a stroke -- not being able to participate. I had various serious concerns with him when I have texted him or called him and it's kind of gibberish. I think he is on some medication that he is a bit out there on.

66

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Have you asked him what medications Q. 2 he is on? 3 MS. COWIN: Objection. I think we're getting into a HIPPA area 4 5 here. 6 MR. JORDAN: HIPPA relates to 7 medical. MS. COWIN: He mentioned 8 9 medication and a stroke. Don't mention anything about Mr. Turner's medical 10 11 condition. 12 MR. JORDAN: I would note that we spoke for about a minute about it. So 13 14 are you directing him to not speak about the conversation he had with --15 16 MS. COWIN: Why don't you ask him a question? And the instruction is 17 don't mention anything about medical 18 19 conditions. 20 MR. JORDAN: Are you 21 instructing the witness not to answer my 22 question about the conversations, 23 including his medical? MS. COWIN: Mr. Jordan, ask a 24

Q. Are you aware of that, yes or no?

A I have not been around for a period

A. I have not been around for a period of time.

Q. Sir, I'm asking, are you aware of that, yes or no?

A. I think he told me he was going to go speak to the selectmen about his condition.

MS. COWIN: I think the confusion is -- when you say town meeting, do you mean the annual town meeting?

MR. JORDAN: Whatever town meeting occurred on or around May 13 of this year.

A. I don't think so, no.

Q. How about May 11? I may have been off on the date.

A. The last discussion I had with Bob, Mr. Turner, was that he was not doing well. He was going to go speak to the selectmen and he might be resigning.

Q. When you say conversation, is this by text?

A. No.

Q. In a personal phone call?

67

question and I will instruct him or I won't.

Q. (By Mr. Jordan) The question to you: Did you ask Mr. Turner about what medication he was on?

MS. COWIN: You can answer that yes or no.

A. No.

Q. Do you know if Mr. Turner is on any medication?

MS. COWIN: Yes or no.

A. Yes.

O. What medication is he on?

MS. COWIN: Do not answer

that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

A. I don't know.

Q. Are you aware that Mr. Turner was just at a meeting, a town meeting of some sort?

A. Town meeting?

Q. That's yes or no.

A. No, I'm not aware. When you say recent, you have to clarify the question.

Q. Within the past couple weeks?

A. No, I don't.

A. No. He asked me to come up to his house.

3 Q. When was that?

A. Very end of April, I think.

Q. What kind of discussion did you have with Mr. Turner?

A. He just wanted to tell me that he was not doing very well, that he was thinking about resigning. And I think he was coming to grips with his physical and mental problems.

Q. And if you know, was he calling you because you're the chairman of the Planning Board?

A. I think so, yes. I think he wanted to just say this in person. It was a difficult thing because --

MS. COWIN: You have answered the question.

Q. (By Mr. Jordan) So from your answer, sir, would it be a fair statement to say that you don't have a social relationship with Mr. Turner?

A. I don't know what you mean by social relationship.

69

18 **Worcester 508.767.1157**

Q. You're not friends where you have been friends over the years in terms of you do things socially together?

A. We're not drinking buddies. We have mutual neighbor friends, probably showed up at the same party once or twice over the years. Our kids all went to the small Westhampton elementary school. His daughter may have been in my son's class. The class sizes are eight to ten people.

Q. I think you answered the question. Sir, I'm looking at some information that was provided to me and one of the things is -- my question, I guess, is: How long have you been on the town Planning Board? Would it refresh your memory to say since 2006? Does that refresh your memory?

A. No, because I think before that.

Q. Would it refresh your memory to say you have been chairman of the Planning Board since 2007?

A. No, not really.

Q. In terms of the Select Board, you stated that Mr. Dowling had come to at least one

special permit?

A. They don't usually come to our meetings. I don't know what else to say to that.

MR. JORDAN: Off the record. (A recess was taken)

MR. JORDAN: Back on the record.

Q. (By Mr. Jordan) Sir, I'm going to show you what is labeled Westhampton Massachusetts Assessor's Plan, which includes the property that is comprised of the -- the saw mill special permit is attached to. As a real estate guy, you can probably read this better than I can. Do you know which -- on this assessor's map, I believe Lot 15 is the one that is -- has the special permit for the saw mill. Have you ever reviewed the assessor's map and the map for the issuance of the permit?

A. I don't have a recollection of reviewing this. I know there were site plans that were presented at the public hearing.

Q. Okay. Did you ever look at any kind of map that described the location of the

of the Planning Board meetings you had to discuss the resolution of the case back in March of 2017?

A. I think there were two meetings and I think he came to them both.

Q. Now, in your prior experience when you're having Planning Board meetings, has a member of the Select Board ever showed up to those meetings other than this time that Mr. Dowling came to the meeting?

A. They may have come to other meetings and even public hearings.

Q. I'm talking about Planning Board meetings where you're discussing the issue --

A. I don't know. I can't remember anything.

Q. Would the same answer be true for any meetings of the Planning Board with regard to discussing the allowance or disallowance of any special permits?

A. Say that again.

Q. Would the same answer apply to where there were meetings of the Planning Board to discuss the issuance or nonissuance of the

special permit property?

A. In the site plans that were presented during the public hearings had orders on it. I don't know if I saw assessor's map or what was presented at the time.

Q. Do you know what property -- the number of the property that was identified as the location of the saw mill on Northwest Road?

A. I thought you said it was fifteen here. I know it's a large track of property.

Q. Okay. Other than me telling you that, do you know that it was -- Lot 15 was the property that was designated on the application for the location of the saw mill?

A. I think so because I remember the property abutting this river or stream or whatever here.

Q. Okay. Do you remember if what is identified there as Lot 26 was identified as the location of part of the land that the permit was -- strike that.

Do you remember Lot 26 being a part of that special permit application?

A. Off the top of my head, no, I don't

Worcester **508.767.1157**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

74

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have a recollection of that.

Do you know what access is used -what lot number access is used to access Lot 15 on that map?

A. I can't tell from this map. I think there is a dirt road or something. There is a big sign at the entrance of it off of Northwest Road.

Q. Okay. Have you seen that sign?

Yes. I have driven by it. A.

And if you remember, what does that Q. sign say?

I think it says something Dodge Hill Maple Farm or something. I believe it's a wooden sign.

And if you know, is that sign on property that is identified as the location of the saw mill?

A. I don't know.

Now, at some point there was a site Q. visit where members of the Planning Board and Board of Selectmen went up to the proposed site of the saw mill. Were you part of that group?

Yes. A.

1 know or can remember is it was brought up at one 2 of the public hearings, that the neighbors were 3 concerned about noise. So I believe we went up 4 to the property to get a lay of the land where 5 stumps were, where chips were, and to have a 6 demonstration of some of the machinery that 7 Mr. Cotton was using.

If you know, did either of Maple Grove Farm or Cotton Tree Service, that you're aware of, pay for an independent consultant to come up and do sound testing on the property?

A. I can't remember on that. Maybe. I don't know. Nothing is popping out in my mind right now.

Q. How about traffic test for traffic?

I remember David Blakesley, head of the highway department, came up during one of the public hearings and talked about traffic issues. He may have had some recent study from Pioneer Valley Commission on traffic counts or vehicles or something like that. I have a vague recollection of that, may have been done.

Who is David Blakesley? Q.

I think he is the head of our Α.

75

Q. When was that, approximately?

I don't know for sure. It was part A. of the initial public hearing process.

Why did you go up there as a member of the Planning Board?

To take a look at the property and what was being discussed.

All right. And when you say look at the property, were you just there to look at it?

A. I think we heard some of the machinery that Mr. Cotton was going to use to put trees into a chipper.

And as part of getting approved for the saw mill special permit, I guess, is there a requirement that noise testing be done, if you know?

I can't remember off the top of my A. head, no.

Are you aware of the requirement that -- and this is my word -- a neutral party should be taking those test readings for sound?

A. I can't remember if there is something specific in the bylaw on that. All I highway department.

Are you aware that the Westhampton bylaws require that a consultant needs to be hired by the applicant for traffic testing?

Could be, if it's in the bylaws. I don't doubt it. It's not popping into my head right now.

You're not aware of that happening? Q.

I believe David Blakesley was the A. one who came and talked.

But are you aware of Mr. Cotton hiring a consultant to --

A. I don't have any recollection of that. I don't think he did. I don't know.

Did you ever see any of the letters that were written in opposition, or at least sighting concerns about the placement of the saw mill on Northwest Road?

If they were submitted during the public hearing process, I probably saw them. Do I specifically remember them, no.

Q. Now, with regard to the noise testing, were you present when any noise testing measurements were made?

77

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

78

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

I don't have a recollection. I just remember hearing the machine having some logs running through. I can't remember somebody testing or not testing.

Were you next to the machine when Q. they were throwing logs through?

I have been at times, yes. And I have been at times far away, different locations.

You said you think. You're not Q. sure?

I remember standing near the machine and I remember standing far away near one of the boundaries, far boundaries, just to get a sense. I probably stopped between to listen.

Did you have any kind of -- or were you with someone that had any kind of machine that would measure the decibels?

I didn't have any of that type of equipment and I don't remember anybody being there that had that type of equipment, but I can't say for certain.

All the Planning Board members were

80

MS. COWIN: You can't ask him.

2 I'm sorry. I may have stood at one 3 of the neighbor's houses or whatever.

> So you don't know? Q.

> > I don't know. A.

Q. What was the purpose of you going up there and, I guess, standing at different locations? What were you trying to accomplish?

Just to hear if it was loud or Α. unruly noise.

Did you think it would be helpful Q. to have sound measurements made so you would be, I guess, in compliance with your bylaws?

I think we just wanted to get a generic feel of the property and a generic listening to the machinery. For my own personal experience, there is a large tract of land behind me and there is a large tract of land across the street from me. There is a current logging project going on across the street. I hear heavy machinery and cutting and noise all the time, so my opinion is you hear it out in Westhampton.

Q. Because you hear it at your house?

79

there, right?

There were neighbors there. There was the four Planning Board members who were there.

All right. How about the Select O. Board, anyone from the Select Board?

A. I don't recall anybody from there being there.

Was Mr. Cotton there? O.

Yes, I think he was. I think -- I A. can't remember if he ran the machine or one of his co-workers did, but I think he was there.

But on any visit to the site that you're aware of, you're not aware that any readings were made by some time of decibel machine, right?

A. I don't have any recollection of that.

And you stated you were near the Q. machines at points and at other points far away?

A. Yes.

Did you stand on Mr. Fondakowski's Q. land and listen to it?

I may have. Where do you live?

You hear it everywhere, I feel. But yes, I hear stuff going on around me, so

3 it's --

> Are you aware -- strike that. What machine was being run when you were there? Could you identify which machine it

Not specifically, but it was a big machine that could take big logs.

10 When you were standing next to it O. 11 was it loud? 12

It was loud, but I don't think it A. was that bad.

But today you could not state if it was within the required decibels?

I was there to hear with a generic A. ear.

Now, with the two meetings you had Q. in March of 2017, the first meeting, how long did that last?

I have no idea. I can't remember. Α.

22 Was it more than a half hour? Q.

> I don't honestly know. A.

So it could have been a minute or Q.

could have been an hour. You can't approximate?

A. I think I answered the question. I don't know.

- Q. If you're satisfied, that's fine.

 Now, with regard to the decibel level, one of the findings in the Agreement for Judgment and in the special permit decision states that -- specifically it states that the noise can be mitigated by the conditions of approval. That was one of the findings in there to support the allowance. What exactly -- what conditions mitigated the sound, that you're aware?
- A. I believe there was something in there about a wall or barrier.
- Q. And where was that wall or barrier going to go?
- A. I can't remember off the top of my head. It's in here, I believe.
- Q. If you know, was that wall or barrier going to be at ground level?
- A. I can't remember off the top of my head.
- Q. If you know, has that wall been constructed?

Hampshire Superior Court -- have you ever beenread those findings?

- A. I believe I have, yes.
- Q. If you could, this is the Judgment on Agreement of the parties entered by the Court, which was recorded at the Registry of Deeds on 6/5/17. Here on Page 2, do you remember reading those?
 - A. Yes.
 - At Number 7 it says, "Although the Q. commercial nature of the business to process wood, including the use of large trucks at the property to deliver and pick up wood products processed at the property, and the actual processing of wood at the property is significant in scope, the Planning Board finds that the use can be sufficiently mitigated by conditions of approval." Now, if you remember -- do you remember what the finding was with regard to the -- I guess the first vote, the denial of the permit that said those conditions could not be sufficiently mitigated by conditions of the board? Do you remember that?

- I don't know if it has or has not.
- Q. Is it your understanding for the saw mill to operate that those conditions have to be met first?
- A. I believe he is supposed to have followed the conditions. So I don't know the specific verbiage before or after, but he is supposed to be following the conditions.
- Q. So if the saw mill is being operated and there is no wall work, that would be a violation of the conditions for the special permit?
- A. If he is not following the conditions, then it would be a violation.
- Q. So my question is: Is that a violation if there is no wall and the saw mill is operating?
- A. I believe there is a wall thing in there. And if he's not following the conditions, it would be a violation. I'm not trying to be difficult. My brain is trying to sort through all of this.
- Q. With regard to the findings that supported the allowance of the special permit by

A. I don't remember that, but, again, I don't disagree if that was one of the findings. I don't remember that off the top of my head.

Q. So did you discuss -- did you have any discussions with regard to the mitigating factors that would cause a change of the denial over the allowance here in terms of the findings?

A. I think we had discussions on trying to eliminate any issue regarding noise, large truck traffic, like types or numbers of trips, that sort of thing.

Q. In terms of the number of trips and trucks and noise, is it your understanding there had been a change to how many trips or how many trucks would visit the site on a monthly basis between the denial and the allowance? Was there a change in that particular fact that you're aware of?

A. I think I said I didn't know that the thing was operating. So my recollection is, regarding limitation of trucks going in and out, it was something that was discussed at public

RTING Worcester 508.767.1157

Springfield 413.732.1157

REAL TIME COURT REPORTING schedule@realtimereporting.net

(Pages 86 to 89)

88 86 1 1 hearings during the initial public hearings. I don't know if they have 2 2 And it was difficult to kind of put your hands discretion or not. 3 3 around it, but I remember neighbors concerned MR. JORDAN: I have no further 4 4 with truck traffic or the potential for truck auestions. 5 5 traffic. MS. COWIN: I have no 6 6 Well, to go back, do you remember questions. O. 7 7 Mr. Dragon making the statement that he had not (Deposition concluded) 8 8 heard anything to change his mind with respect 9 9 to his vote regarding conditions of approval 10 10 that would make him change his vote? 11 11 A. I don't have a recollection of what 12 12 he said. 13 13 Okay. If you are aware of -- if Q. 14 14 you were aware of, say, a violation in that a 15 wall has not been constructed, what do you think 15 should happen? What do you think the Town 16 16 17 17 should do as a result of that alleged violation? 18 18 I think that is something for the 19 19 Select Board to decide along with building 20 20 inspector. 21 21 Q. It's not for the Planning Board? 22 22 As far as I know, the Planning A. 23 23 Board does not have any enforcement powers. 24 24 That is my understanding.

87

Q. But I'm asking you, I guess, as the chairman of the Planning Board that set conditions that would have teeth, what would your position be with respect to a violation if it has occurred? What should happen?

A. I'm only one vote on the Planning Board. So I think it's something that the Select Board and the building inspector, especially the building inspector, investigate, make a decision, and do what they feel is necessary.

MS. COWIN: You have finished answering the question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Jordan) Is it your understanding that the enforcement officer has discretion as to whether he will enforce violations of a special permit or not?

A. I don't know if he has discretion or not.

Q. Is it your understanding that the Select Board has discretion as to whether a violation of the special permit conditions should be enforced or not?

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS Hampshire, ss.

I, RAYMOND F. CATUOGNO, JR., a Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that there came before me on May 21, 2018, at the offices of Kotfila & Jordan, One Monarch Place, Suite 1340, Springfield, Massachusetts, the following named person, to wit: MARK SCHWALLIE, who was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and nothing but the truth as to his knowledge touching and concerning the matters in controversy in this cause; that he was thereupon examined upon his oath and said examination reduced to writing by me; and that the statement is a true record of the testimony given by the witness, to the best of my knowledge and ability.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of counsel/attorney for any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such parties, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of the action.

WITNESS MY HAND May 29, 2018.

Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr.
Notary Public

My Commission expires: February 12, 2021 89

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(Pages 90 to 91)

	(Eages 30 to 31
90	
June 2, 2018	
Jackie Cowin, Esq. KP LAW, P.C. 101 Arch Street Boston, MA 02110	
Re: FONDAKOWSKI v. TOWN OF WESTHAMPTON, et al.	
Dear Counselor:	
Enclosed is a copy of the deposition of MARK SCHWALLIE taken on May 21, 2018, in the above-entitled action.	
According to Rule 30(e) of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, the deponent has thirty days to sign the deposition from the date of its submission to the deponent, which is the above date.	
Please have the deponent sign the enclosed Signature Page/Errata Sheet and return it to the offices of: Richard T. Jordan, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF KOTFILA & JORDAN One Monarch Place, Suite 1340	
Springfield, MA 01144 Whereupon it will be attached to the original deposition transcript, and a copy thereof to all counsel of record.	
Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.	
Raymond F. Catuogno, Jr.	
cc: Richard T. Jordan, Esq.	
91	
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS Hampshire, ss. 1780CV00105	
TIMOTHY FONDAKOWSKI, Plaintiff,	
V. PLANNING BOARD OF THE TOWN OF WESTHAMPTON THROUGH ITS MEMBERS MARK SCHWALLIE, THOMAS HATHAWAY, ROBERT TURNER, ROBERT DRAGON, JR., COTTON TREE SERVICE, INC., DODGE MAPLE GROVE FARM, LLC, AND HAMPSHIRE SUPERIOR COURT, Defendants.	
I, MARK SCHWALLIE, do hereby certify, under the pains and penalties of perjury, that the foregoing testimony is true and accurate, to the best of my knowledge and belief, with the addition of the following changes/corrections: Page Line Change/Correction	
WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2018.	
· 	
WITNESS MY HAND, this day of , 2018. MARK SCHWALLIE	